

Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Local Fund Survey 2019

The purpose of the CIL Local Fund survey 2019 was two-fold:

- for local communities’ to express their priorities for improvements to their areas, and
- to receive project suggestions for CIL Local Funding to improve local areas

Survey Statistics	
Number of people giving their priorities for at least 1 area	389¹
As 21 people gave their priorities for 2 areas (for example, where they live and work) and 1 person for 3 areas, the total number of ranked priorities expressed for an area was ..	414
91% of respondents said they lived in the borough; 20% said they worked in the borough; and 9% had another connection with the borough. NOTE: some people recorded that they both live and work in the borough.	

The survey was promoted through social media, to local media, via members and on the home page of the website. This was complemented by the town and parish councils’ own communications.

¹ This excludes the 116 on-line responses that gave a postcode but were “blank” responses, giving no priorities for improvement, nor project suggestions.


Community Priorities

CIL Local Fund Area	Number of people in local communities expressing their priorities for improvements for that area
NE	64
NW	61
CE	150
CW	46
S&S	68
HTC	25


The charts below show the cumulative score for the 10 priorities for improvement stated by each community. Each respondent's 1st priority was scored 5, their 2nd priority scored 4, 3rd priority scored 3, 4th priority scored 2, and their 5th priority scored 1.

Some people ranked their 1st and 2nd priorities but didn't go beyond that, so there are fewer improvements ranked as 3rd, 4th and 5th priority.


Priorities for improvements expressed by local communities




CIL Local Fund North West Community Priorities




CIL Local Fund Central East Community Priorities




CIL Local Fund Central West Community Priorities



CIL Local Fund Salfords & Sidlow Parish Community Priorities



CIL Local Fund Horley Town Community Priorities



Project Suggestions

A total of 161 new potential projects were suggested both through the survey (on-line and paper), or from local community and sports groups and infrastructure providers (such as local schools and Surrey County Council as the local highways authority) using a project suggestion form.

Approximately 50 of these suggested projects were submitted on the project suggestion forms, where generally greater detail was provided. The other projects were suggested via the on-line and paper (some quite vague in project description and / or precise location).


Where suggested projects for the same location were very similar, these were merged into one project suggestion.

Two of the suggested projects are located outside of Reigate & Banstead Borough Council in Tandridge district, and the proposers of those projects have been advised.

CIL Local Fund Area	Number of projects suggested in the 2019 survey
NE	37
NW	42
CE	39
CW	27
S&S	3 *
HTC	13
TOTAL	161

* One project was suggested for two areas (Central East and S&S) as the site spans both areas.

CIL Local Fund Panel Areas



© Crown Copyright and Database Right 2019. Ordnance Survey Licence Number 100019405. Produced by Reigate & Banstead Borough Council.

- North East Area**
 Chipstead, Kingswood & Woodmansterne
 Hooley, Merstham & Netherne

- North West Area**
 Banstead Village
 Lower Kingswood, Tadworth & Walton
 Nork
 Tattenham Corner & Preston

- Central East Area**
 Earlswood & Whitebushes
 Meadvale & St John's
 Redhill East
 Redhill West & Wray Common

- Central West Area**
 Reigate
 South Park & Woodhatch